MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 20, 2014

TO: All Members of the Delaware State Senate and House of Representatives

FROM: Ms. Daniese McMullin-Powell, Chairperson State Council for Persons with Disabilities

RE: H.B. 229 (Conditional Driver’s License)

The State Council for Persons with Disabilities (SCPD) has reviewed H.B. 229 which allows for a conditional license for the purpose of attending school or job training for anyone who has had their license revoked for conviction of a drug offense. As background, the attached Title 21 Del.C. §4177K requires the 6-month revocation of the driver’s license of adults convicted of drug offenses and juveniles determined delinquent based on drug offenses. In his 2014 State of the State address (excerpt attached), Governor Markell questioned the wisdom behind the law:

Many offenders guilty of drug offenses are denied a driver’s license regardless of whether their crime had anything to do with a car. The penalty is just one more punishment that prevents them from seeking employment and accessing job training. This should change. I ask you to eliminate the arbitrary loss of a drivers’ license for crimes that have nothing to do with automobiles.

H.B. 229 does not contemplate repeal of the problematic law. It reflects a more restrained approach by expanding the justification for covered offenders to qualify for a conditional license. The proposed statutory amendment is as follows:

(c) When a driver’s license is revoked pursuant to this section, any such individual not in
violation of probational requirements regarding substance abuse treatment shall be permitted to apply for a conditional license for the limited purpose of employment, to attend school or job training, to attend treatment appointments and to meet with their probation officer.

SCPD endorses the proposed legislation since the lack of a driver’s license undermines rehabilitation efforts. Consistent with attached Fact Sheet, “The Value and Role of Work During Recovery from Mental Illness (January, 2014), engaging in employment and vocational activities is therapeutic and decreases long-term public services costs. Moreover, for families participating in the TANF program, the inability of family members to attend school or job training may result in disqualification from benefits for the entire household. See 17 DE Reg. 897 (March 1, 2014).

However, the legislation could be improved. Similar statutes authorizing conditional/restricted licenses authorize restoration of a license if justified by “critical need” or “urgent need” or “extreme hardship” based on regulations adopted by the Division of Motor Vehicles. See Title 10 Del.C. §1009(f)(2)(3); 21 Del.C. §2118(r); 21 Del.C. §4166(l); and 21 Del.C. §4177E. For perspective, SCPD is attaching some of the DMV regulations - 2 DE Admin Code Parts 2210, 2211, and 2212. For example, Part 2212 contains the following standard for requests for a conditional license based on “urgent need”:

4.5.3. An urgent need by the applicant or within the family, which is critical to the family’s health or welfare, and no other family members are capable of satisfying such urgent need. This includes medical facilities, child, or adult care facilities.

The Family Court statute authorizing a conditional license based on “critical need” similarly contains the following standard:

(2) A critical need shall include loss of meaningful employment opportunity, or loss of a school opportunity, or any other urgent need of the child or the child’s immediate family the continuation of which is critical to the best interests of the child but only if and for so long as no other member of the immediate family is realistically capable of satisfying such urgent need.

Title 10 Del.C. §1009(f)(2).

It would be preferable for H.B. 229 to be amended to add a “critical need” or “urgent need” justification for applying for a conditional license. Otherwise, DMV lacks the authority to grant a conditional license unless covered by one of the four (4) enumerated bases (employment; school or job training attendance; treatment appointments; probation officer meetings). Realistically, a host of other critical or urgent needs may arise in a family justifying the DMV to consider approving a conditional license. Please consider the following substitute for lines 3-6 of the existing text to H.B. 229:
(c) When a driver’s license is revoked pursuant to this section, any such individual not in violation of probational requirements regarding substance abuse treatment shall be permitted to apply for a conditional license for the limited purpose of employment, to attend school or job training, to attend treatment appointments, and to meet with their probation officer, or to fulfill a critical need of the individual or immediate family based on regulations adopted by the Division of Motor Vehicles.

Thank you for your consideration and please contact SCPD if you have any questions regarding our position or observations on the proposed legislation.

cc: The Honorable Jack Markell  
    Ms. Jennifer Cohen  
    Mr. Brian Hartman, Esq.  
    Governor’s Advisory Council for Exceptional Citizens  
    Developmental Disabilities Council

HB 229 conditional license 3-20-14
§ 4177K Revocation of license for persons convicted of all drug offenses.

(a) Except as provided by § 1012 of Title 10, any person who pleads guilty to or is convicted of, including a guilty plea or conviction pursuant to § 4767 of Title 16, a violation of §§ 4752-4764 of Title 16, or any drug offense under Chapter 5 of Title 11 or under any law of the United States, any state of the United States or any local jurisdiction or the District of Columbia, or who is adjudicated delinquent as a result of acts which would constitute such offenses if committed by an adult, shall, in addition to any and all other penalties provided by law, have the person's driver's license and/or driving privileges revoked by the Secretary for a period of 6 months from the date of sentencing.

(b) In cases where this section is applied, the Court shall immediately take possession of any Delaware issued driver's license and forthwith forward it to the Secretary, together with notification that revocation pursuant to this section has been implemented.

(c) When a driver's license is revoked pursuant to this section, any such individual not in violation of probational requirements regarding substance abuse treatment shall be permitted to apply for a conditional license for the limited purpose of employment, to attend treatment appointments and to meet with their probation officer.

(d) [Transferred to paragraph (c) of this section].

(e) [Repealed].

The Opportunity to Contribute

We cannot meet the potential of our great state and our great country if we give up on a great number of our people. Today, America incarcerates more than 2 million people, and each year we release more than 700,000 inmates. 25 years ago, the total number of people incarcerated was 700,000.

For released inmates, their criminal record makes it difficult to be productive members of society.

There are those who belong behind bars and it is worth every penny we spend to keep them there. But when a person has served their time, it's up to them -- and to us -- to make sure they transition effectively, achieve their potential and contribute to society.

In 2009, with the leadership of Secretary McMahon and Director Ben Addi, we began our I-ADAPT initiative to help offenders prepare for their eventual release by giving them some of what they need to return to our communities. Identification. Access to medical care. A transition plan. Job training opportunities.

Five years of experience has taught us that those little things make a big difference. But for many offenders there is one thing we can't give them -- a driver's license. Many offenders guilty of drug offenses are denied a driver's license -- regardless of whether their crime had anything to do with a car. This penalty is just one more punishment that prevents them from seeking employment and accessing job training.

This should change. I ask you to eliminate the arbitrary loss of a drivers' license for crimes that have nothing to do with automobiles.

Too many of the inmates we release end up going back to prison. One of the best predictors of whether a person will commit another crime is whether they have a job. If we know employing ex-offenders helps make our communities safer, why are we putting so many hurdles in the way of job opportunities for ex-offenders?

We need to start by looking at employment discrimination against people who have repaid their debt to society. Here is an example: If there is one employer in Delaware that should be able to decide whether hiring an ex-offender makes sense, it's the Department of Correction. But the Department is prohibited from hiring anyone with a felony record, even on a part-time basis.

As Representative JJ Johnson has suggested, we can do better.

Many communities have started to "ban the box" on job applications by eliminating the box that says "check here if you've been convicted of a crime." I believe we should ban the box for state government hires this year.

Let's stop denying ex-offenders their first interview. Let's be a model for the private sector, because marginalizing ex-offenders helps none of us.

Delaware's incarceration rate is higher than the national average in a country whose average is higher than the rest of the world's. That's not a point of pride, it's incredibly expensive, and it hasn't worked.

We lock up too many people for not making bail and not appearing at hearings. Forty percent of the women incarcerated at Baylor are pre-trial detainees, many charged with non-violent offenses.
FACT SHEET

The Value and Role of Work During Recovery From Mental Illness
By Aaron Kingson and Cathy Costanzo

Center for Public Representation
January 2014

I. Introduction

Among adults living with mental illness, the unemployment rate is three to five times higher than the general population (National Alliance on Mental Health, 2010). Yet most individuals with mental illnesses want to work (Provencher, Gregg, Mead, & Mueser, 2002). Additionally, research studies show that even individuals with serious mental illness have the ability to successfully work, even after extended work interruptions (Russinova, Bloch, & Lyass, 2007), and that competitive employment has proven to be valuable to the mental illness recovery process.¹

This Fact Sheet (1) provides information on evidence-based practice regarding work and its role in recovery; (2) reviews the literature to identify principles of supported employment that help facilitate positive employment experiences for individuals in recovery and reentry to work and community; and (3) seeks to inform and reinforce the practice and advocacy of Protection and Advocacy systems (P&As).

Definitions for 'work' and 'recovery' vary greatly across stakeholder groups. The definitions used in this paper are adapted primarily from federal legislation and emphasize inclusiveness and the importance of work in the recovery process. As used in this report:

- "Work" is competitive and enables the individual to earn at least minimum wage in an integrated work setting.² This definition of work does not include sheltered

¹ This Fact Sheet does not attempt to address the issue of whether competitive employment is appropriate for all individuals recovering from mental illness at every stage of recovery.

² The definition of work included in the Rehabilitation Act 7(35) – Supported Employment (Office of Law Revision Counsel of the House of Representatives, 2001, p. 4389) is as follows:
or other non-integrated or non-competitive employment;

- "Recovery" is holistic, focused on self-direction, and stresses the connections between recovery, work, and psychiatric rehabilitation;³ and

- "Supported employment," is defined by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), as "assistance in obtaining and keeping competitive employment in an integrated setting."⁴

The sections below provide background on federal legislation and current programs, examine the value of work and access to work opportunities, suggest best practices, and explore policy ideas that incorporate best practices. The final section proposes ways that P&As may advance supported employment for clients in recovery from mental illness.

³ The Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) delineates the new working definition of recovery as:
   (i) The process of psychiatric rehabilitation "through which individuals improve their health and wellness, 
   (ii) live a self directed life; and
   (iii) strive to reach their full potential. (2011, p.1)

⁴ For definition and other CMS initiatives that promote employment, please visit: www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Delivery-Systems/Grant-Programs/Employment-Initiatives.html.
II. Background

Over the last 40 years, federal legislation, initiatives and appropriations have supported the choice of individuals in recovery from work-disrupting mental illnesses to work by mitigating traditional barriers including discrimination, loss of benefits, and inflexible work environments. Federal legislation seeking to remove many barriers to work and/or encourage employment includes:

- **1973** - Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the first legislative breakthrough, makes it illegal for public entities and those receiving federal funding to discriminate against individuals with disabilities.

- **1986** - The Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1986 includes supported employment to assist persons with the most significant disabilities to achieve and retain competitive employment.

- **1990** - The Americans with Disabilities Act makes it illegal for any employer to discriminate or directly harass on the basis of disability. The Act requires reasonable accommodations for the disability unless doing so causes undue hardship to the employer. Title II of the ADA requires that governmental services, including employment programs, not discriminate.

- **1992** - The Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1992 mandated that individual rehabilitation plans for adults with disabilities are co-developed with the consumer. The amendments also required Centers for Independent Living to deliver consumer-directed services that represent different disability groups (e.g. not just individuals with physical disabilities) (Shreve, n.d.).

- **1998** - The Workforce Investment Act was designed to provide occupational training and education to develop the nation’s workforce. This included the creation of centers to help people with disabilities access programs to enhance their ability to gain or retain jobs.

- **1999** - The Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvements Act of 1999 (TWWIIA) protects medical benefits for some recipients of Medicare and Medicaid when they return to work (Timeline, n.d.).

- **2000** - Executive Order 13163 was supposed to increase by 100,000 persons the number of individuals with disabilities employed in the federal workforce, but few steps were taken and little progress was made. 65 Fed. Reg. 46563 (Executive Office of the President, 2000).


- **2011** - Affordable Care Act Provisions -- Home and Community-Based Services 1915(i) allows states to cover Supported Employment and other "habilitation" services under this Medicaid waiver; and 1915(k) increases the federal match for this waiver by 6% (specific match percentages vary by state). To many
advocates, these provisions not only support employment, but also promote integrated community-based services over institutional programs (ADAPT, 2011).

The Office of Disability Employment Policy (ODEP), the Social Security Administration (SSA), and CMS have programs, policies and initiatives that encourage employment and support individuals who want to work. ODEP, housed within the United States Department of Labor, was established in 2001, in response to "the need for a national policy to ensure that people with disabilities are fully integrated into the 21st Century workforce..." (ODEP, n.d.). ODEP has many new and emerging policies to support employment and remove barriers to work.5

SSA Demonstration Projects

Recent SSA demonstration projects that assess interventions that encourage work for recipients include (1) the Mental Health Treatment Study, (2) the Accelerated Benefits Demonstration, and (3) the Benefit Offset National Demonstration. They are described in the following paragraphs.

From 2006 to 2010 the Mental Health Treatment Study (MHTS) demonstration project provided both supported employment and systematic medication management services to SSDI beneficiaries with serious mental illnesses. Over 2,000 beneficiaries were recruited and integrated services were provided at 23 sites throughout the country. The evaluation of this study found that the MHTS treatment group improved both employment and health outcomes (Frey, Drake, Bond, Miller, Goldman, Salkever ... Collins, 2011).

The Accelerated Benefits Demonstration included 2,000 participants across 53 metropolitan areas who were randomized into three groups in 2008. Two groups both received accelerated health care benefits at least 18 months before Medicare eligibility, and one of the two also received telephone services that promote work. The control group (the third group) received no accelerated benefits or telephone services. Initial one-year findings show that access to health care and health improvements are significant, but additional research is needed to determine impact on employment outcomes (Mann & Wittenburg, 2012).

In 2005, four states implemented a pilot to prepare for the Benefit Offset National Demonstration that is now in progress. Every state has now recruited between 250 and 600 participants who were randomly assigned to control or treatment groups. As an alternative to the standing policy of losing all financial benefits at sustained earnings levels of substantial gainful activity (SGA)6, the treatment group's benefits are reduced

5 Current ODEP policies include the Inclusive Federal Contractor Requirements and Small Business Tax Credits (IRS Code Section 44, Disabled Access). Detailed descriptions of all of ODEP initiatives and policies may be found at http://www.dol.gov/odep/about/.

6 In 2014, SGA for persons receiving Social Security Disability Insurance benefits is $1,070 per month for non-blind individuals and $1,800 for blind individuals (Social Security Administration, n.d.)
by $1 per $2 of additional earnings. Both the control and treatment groups are offered additional vocational counseling services. This project has not yet been evaluated (Mann & Wittenburg, 2012).

In addition to the Ticket to Work Act referenced above, CMS provides states with the option to offer Medicaid recipients supported employment services through Home and Community Based Services under the provisions of Section 1915(c)(5)(C) or 1915(i) waivers. These services, defined as “assistance in obtaining and keeping competitive employment in an integrated setting,” and peer support services that deliver “counseling and other support services to Medicaid eligible adults with mental illnesses...” (CMS, n.d.), are more comprehensive than those available through federal-only Medicaid programs.

III. Value of work

There is a wide array of significant benefits to competitive employment during recovery. First-person accounts often cite the importance of work during recovery because it enhances connections with others, self-esteem, self-sufficiency, personal responsibility, stress management, and views of self-worth by contributing to society (Dunn, Wewiorski, & Rogers, 2008). People with a mental health diagnosis who work feel that they are more respected, are more financially independent, and have more meaningful relationships (McGurk, Mueser, DeRosa, & Wolfe, 2009).

In 2008, Dunn, et al., performed a qualitative study interviewing individuals with serious mental illness who have been successful working during recovery. The study, which included 23 interviews, concludes that ‘significant benefits’ are realized during the recovery process from work. One common theme among participants was the value of employment at promoting recovery through supporting confidence and self-pride. One study participant shared that “at (one) point I felt like work was the only thing in my life that had any value (p. 61).” Other themes were the importance of establishing daily routines through employment, distraction from negative thoughts, overcoming symptoms of isolation, and achieving financial self-sufficiency. Previous studies corroborate these results (Honey, 2004; Provencher et al. 2002).

A. Employment not only promotes recovery, but it has also been shown to decrease long-term service use and costs.

Bush, Drake, Xie, McHugo, and Haslett (2009) published a rigorous 10-year study of utilization and cost that followed 187 individuals in recovery. Minimum- and steady-work groups that controlled for education, work history, psychiatric diagnosis, and severity of psychopathology were compared and longitudinal patterns of work, utilization and cost outcomes were established. The conclusion of the study was that “highly significant reductions in service use were associated with steady employment.” (p. 1024).7

7 The literature cited in the paper also suggests that the significant benefits of work in recovery include the potential to combat depression, mend personal identity, develop and recover skills,
B. Competitive employment has been shown to benefit individuals with different recovery experiences.

According to a qualitative study by Provencher et al., individuals with different recovery experiences all realized benefits from work. People who viewed their recovery as uncertain benefitted from developing structure to fill free time, building secure environments, and having distractions from their worries; those who experienced recovery as self-empowering benefitted from regaining pride and connecting with others; those who felt recovery was challenging gained from feeling that they were meeting their potential. The study findings provided support for the theory that employment has positive effects on other aspects of recovery, such as creating a secure base, supportive relationships, and coping mechanisms (2002).

C. Working in an integrated setting influences every dimension of recovery.

Along with education and housing, one of three functional recovery factors defined by Whitley and Drake is employment, with "obtaining and maintaining employment" as the measurable outcome. And functional recovery is linked to the other four dimensions of recovery (clinical, existential, physical and social). For instance, "employment (functional-recovery) may lead to inclusion in positive social networks (social recovery), which might enhance hope and responsibility (existential recovery). These factors may work together to reduce symptoms (clinical recovery)." (2010, p. 1250). Consumer movements often also focus on the participation in self-directed employment as a marker of recovery.

D. All of the literature promotes work.

In the entire literature review, not one negative effect of employment during recovery was mentioned. An academic search for "detrimental effects of employment during recovery from mental illness" and related topics and key words revealed that the only negative references pertained to barriers to employment including the impact of stigma, self-disclosure, and lost productivity from mental illness. All of these negative associations between employment and mental illness are unrelated to negative effects of work during recovery.

Marrone and Golowka performed an extensive literature search as well and found no clinical research studies regarding ill effects of employment on people with mental health disabilities. Rather, the authors stress that the benefits of employment far outweigh the stresses of employment on mental health. In addition, they noted the benefits of realizing a role other than "consumer," decreasing stress from being on public benefits, developing possibilities for romantic relationships, and increasing the meaning of leisure time (1999).

expand social networks and support systems, decrease long-term reliance on benefits, better achieve long-term goals, and increase structure in ways that promote recovery.
IV. Supported Employment

In a qualitative study by Dunn et al. (2010), seven themes emerged as important to helping individuals in recovery return to work and stay employed. These themes are "having the confidence to work, having the motivation to work, possessing work-related skills, assessing person-job fit, creating work opportunities, receiving social support, and having access to consumer-oriented programs and services." (p. 185).

Evidence-based research indicates supported employment is the intervention that most effectively optimizes employment outcomes for individuals in recovery from mental illness who are returning to work. A 2012 SAMHSA training teleconference discusses Individual Placement and Support (IPS) Supported Employment as a "new" tool backed by decades of research.

This evidence-based practice model has five defining features:

- The approach leads to a mainstream job in the community.
- The job pays at least minimum wage.
- The work setting includes people who are not disabled.
- The service agency provides ongoing support.
- This type of employment is intended for people with the most severe disabilities.


Supported employment differs from other models in that it emphasizes choice, encourages rapid entry/reentry into the labor force over prevocational assessment and training programs; and provides supports and assistance to find and keep competitive jobs in the community (Center for Evidence-Based Practices, n.d.).

In 2008, Bond, Drake, and Becker summarized results from 11 studies in the employment outcome areas of "employment rates, days to first job, annualized weeks worked, and job tenure in the longest job held during the follow-up period." (p. 280). The conclusion was that the Individual Placement and Support Model for supported employment (IPS) had the best work outcomes as compared with other vocational rehabilitation models. Most significantly, the competitive employment rate for IPS was 61% vs. 23% for controls.

Additional research corroborates these findings. Bush, Drake, et al., researchers affiliated with the Dartmouth Psychiatric Research Center, a leading national center on mental health and employment policy, state that "a specific vocational intervention—supported employment—has been demonstrated over the past 20 years to be an evidence-based practice for persons with serious mental illnesses. Methodologically rigorous studies show that supported employment is nearly three times as effective as other interventions for helping persons with psychiatric disabilities to achieve
competitive employment, increases the number of hours worked, and accomplishes other vocational outcomes.” (2009, p. 1024) Research by Bond and others show similar impacts, and sustained or increased long-term employment outcomes despite less reliance on vocational services. (Becker, Whitley, Bailey & Drake, 2007; Bond, 2004; and Cook, Leff, Blyler, Gold, Goldberg, Mueser, ...Burke-Miller, 2005).

V. Best practices

A. Supported employment stands alone in the research as the best practice for supporting recovery through work for individuals with serious mental health conditions.

The following basic principles of Individual Placement and Supported Employment (IPSE) are advanced by the IPS Supported Employment Center at Dartmouth. They are similar to the principles delineated by McGurk et al. (2009, p. 5).

1. Focus on Competitive Employment: Agencies providing IPS services are committed to competitive employment as an attainable goal for clients with serious mental illness seeking employment.

2. Eligibility Based on Client Choice: Clients are not excluded on the basis of readiness, diagnoses, symptoms, substance use history, psychiatric hospitalizations, level of disability, or legal system involvement.

3. Integration of Rehabilitation and Mental Health Services: IPS programs are closely integrated with mental health treatment teams.

4. Attention to Client Preferences: Services are based on clients’ preferences and choices, rather than providers’ judgments.


6. Rapid Job Search: IPS programs use a rapid job search approach to help clients obtain jobs directly, rather than providing lengthy pre-employment assessment, training, and counseling.

7. Systematic Job Development: Employment specialists build an employer network based on clients’ interests, developing relationships with local employers by making systematic contacts.

8. Time-Unlimited and Individualized Support: Follow-along supports are individualized and continued for as long as the client wants and needs the support.

Bond (2004) finds that evidence-based research shows the strongest support for principles one (competitive employment), two (client choice) and six (rapid job search). Rapid reentry into employment has also been shown to increase the probability of employment leading to a career rather than just planning for employment (Marrone &
Golowka, 1999; Bond et al. 1995). Bond goes on to discuss moderately strong evidence in support of principles three (integrating rehabilitation and mental health teams) and four (honoring client preferences).

Additional research supports principle three. In the SSA Mental Health Treatment Study discussed above in Section II, Frey, et al., found that this 2,238-participant demonstration project combining supported employment and systemic medication management services improved employment and health outcomes for treatment group members. At the end of the 24-month study, 61% of the treatment group was employed vs. 40% of the control group. However, average earnings for both groups were well below SGA ($251 per month) and not significantly different between the groups. Notably, hospitalizations and psychiatric treatment visits decreased in frequency and length for treatment group beneficiaries (Frey et al., 2011). A different study by McGurk et al. (2009) found that the combination of supported employment and cognitive remediation services enhanced employment outcomes and increased cognitive recovery more than supported employment alone.

B. In addition to the basic principles of IPSE, the ideal relationship between work and the recovery process based on our research might include the following provisions:

1. **Attainable intermediary outcomes:** Taking client preferences into account, goals and outcomes should be realistic, incremental and flexible. Defined goals, outcomes, and timetables for securing employment are critical to progress. Development and evaluation of progress markers should take into consideration that recovery is not always a linear process. For example, an individual may have excelled at a full-time position prior to a relapse of mental illness, but in early recovery this person may not be able to work full time or be competitive at the same level of employment prior to relapse. Rather, his goals may begin with satisfactorily holding a half-time entry level position that later leads to full employment in his previous field.

2. **Redefining success:** Individualized client supports that define and celebrate every new vocational success as a milestone of recovery. Throughout the recovery process and particularly in early recovery, every accomplishment is significant and often formative. Employment specialists should emphasize each new milestone that is crossed, and never take an achievement for granted. In early recovery, successes may include regular attendance, notifying the employer if absent, and passing probationary review; intermediate successes may include consistent attendance, increasing hours worked, and less reliance on benefits; and advanced successes may include a month of perfect attendance, securing a full-time position within the individual’s previous field, and financial independence.

3. **The evolving personal value of work:** Employment specialists and counseling services that emphasize the individual reasons to work and the progressing value of work. As discussed in the ‘Value of Work’ section, not every person in recovery works for the same reasons or benefits from work in the same ways. The value derived
from work often changes as a person recovers. Supports that emphasize current
reasons to work and benefits of employment, in addition to past successes attributable
to work, best incentivize future employment. An individual may begin working for self-
esteeem and to establish daily routines. As self-esteem builds and routines become
easier, he may continue to work to increase his personal responsibility and social circle.
In later recovery, his value of work may build to include financial independence.

VI. Implications for P&As

The research is uncontroversial that competitive work throughout the recovery process
is proven to be valuable to people needing mental health services, with no known
disadvantages. Furthermore, employment is a critical factor not only in the recovery
process but as an essential feature of integration into the community. It is imperative
that the P&As advocate for strategies that promoting competitive employment
opportunities and programs.

P&As should consider how they can advocate for the funding of Individual Placement
and Supported Employment, and programs based on similar principles, which are
shown to be the most effective evidence-based program interventions. At the state
level, it is possible to address the importance of work in a number of ways. First, focus
on the importance of work and supported employment in individual advocacy for
individuals with mental illness. Second, advocate for the creation and expansion of
supported employment services for individuals in the mental health system and promote
increased awareness and the utilization of benefits counseling to understand how work
incentives can be used to enhance opportunities for stable employment. P&As should
also advocate for the inclusion of supported employment initiatives in Olmstead Plans.
Finally, consider forming alliances with stakeholders, such as consumer groups, to
devise strategies for promoting employment.
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Title 2 Transportation
Driver Services

2210 Issuance of a Conditional License as the Result of a Suspension Due to a Conviction for Passing a Stopped School Bus. (Formerly Reg. No. 57)

1.0 Authority

The authority to promulgate this regulation is 21 Del.C. §302, 21 Del.C. §4177(i) and 29 Del.C. §10115.

2.0 Purpose

This policy regulation establishes administrative procedures regarding the issuance of a conditional license following a suspension action due to a conviction for passing a stopped school bus in violation of 21 Del.C. §4166(d).

3.0 Applicability

This policy regulation interprets the following sections found in 21 Del.C. §4166

4.0 Substance of Policy

4.1 Upon receiving a notice of conviction for a violation of 21 Del.C. §4166(d) the driver's license and/or driving privilege shall be suspended for a period of one (1) month for a first offense.

4.2 Upon receiving a notice of conviction for a second violation of 21 Del.C. §4166(d) within three (3) years of a prior violation, the driver's license and/or driving privilege shall be suspended for a period of six (6) months.

4.3 Upon receiving a notice of conviction for a third or further subsequent violation of 21 Del.C. §4166(d) within three (3) years of a prior violation, the driver's license and/or driving privilege shall be suspended for a period of one (1) year.

4.4 In the event of a suspension of a driver's license pursuant to this policy, the Division may issue a conditional license during the period of suspension if the applicant stipulates the suspension has created an extreme hardship, such as loss of meaningful employment opportunity or loss of school opportunity.

4.4.1 A minimum suspension period of one (1) month must be served without driving authority if the suspension action is processed based on (4.1) above.

4.4.2 A minimum suspension period of three (3) months must be served without driving authority if the suspension action is processed based on (4.2) above.

4.4.3 A minimum suspension period of six (6) months must be served without driving authority if the suspension action is processed based on (4.3) above.
4.5 However no such conditional license shall be issued if the licensee has been issued an occupational license or a conditional license within the preceding twelve (12) months or has previously been issued a total of three (3) occupational or conditional licenses as shown on the licensee's driving record.

4.6 The Department, upon receiving a record of conviction of any person upon a violation of operating a motor vehicle in violation of the condition imposed upon said conditional license during the period of such conditional license, shall immediately extend the period of such suspension for an additional like period and shall forthwith direct such person to surrender said conditional license to the Department.

5.0 Severability

If any part of this rule is held to be unconstitutional or otherwise contrary to law by a court of competent jurisdiction, said portion shall be severed and the remaining portions of this rule shall remain in full force and effect under Delaware law.

6.0 Effective Date

The following regulation shall be effective 10 days from the date the order is signed and it is published in its final form in the Register of Regulations in accordance with 29 Del.C. § 10118(e).

9 DE Reg. 1988 (06/01/06)
Title 2 Transportation

Driver Services

2211 The Issuance of Restricted Driving Privileges as the Result of a Suspension or Revocation Order Received from Family Court Relative to a Juvenile Being in Violation of 21 Del.C. §4177. (Formerly Reg. No. 63)

1.0 Authority

The authority to promulgate this regulation is 21 Del.C. §302, 21 Del.C. §4177, 10 Del.C. §1009(f) and 29 Del.C. §10115.

2.0 Purpose

This policy regulation establishes administrative procedures regarding the issuance of restricted driving privileges following a suspension or revocation order received from Family court relative to a juvenile being in violation of 21 Del.C. §4177.

3.0 Applicability

This policy regulation interprets the following sections found in 21 Del.C. §4177, §4177B, and 10 Del.C. §1009(f).

4.0 Substance of Policy

4.1 Requests for restricted driving authority pertaining to employment must be accompanied by a notarized statement from the employer stating that no authority to drive would result in the loss of a meaningful employment opportunity.

4.2 Requests for restricted driving privileges pertaining to attending school must be accompanied by a notarized statement from an official of the school stating that without the authority to drive a loss of a school opportunity would result.

4.3 Requests for restricted driving privileges for any other urgent need of the individual must be accompanied by a notarized statement from a member of the immediate family stating that no member of the immediate family is capable of satisfying such urgent need.

5.0 Severability

If any part of this rule is held to be unconstitutional or otherwise contrary to law by a court of competent jurisdiction, said portion shall be severed and the remaining portions of this rule shall remain in full force and effect under Delaware law.

6.0 Effective Date

The following regulation shall be effective 10 days from the date the order is signed and it is published in its final form in the Register of Regulations in accordance with 29 Del.C. §10118(e).

Title 2 Transportation
Driver Services

2212 Issuance of Occupation Driver’s License After Conviction of No Insurance on a Vehicle (Formerly Reg. No. 78)

1.0 Authority

The authority to promulgate this regulation is 21 Del.C. §302, 21 Del.C. §2118, and 29 Del.C. §10115.

2.0 Purpose

This policy regulation establishes administrative procedures used to issue occupational driving authority following conviction of failure to have insurance or failure to display an insurance ID card.

3.0 Applicability

This policy regulation interprets the sections found in 21 Del.C. §2118(a) through (2) in their entirety.

4.0 Substance of Policy

In the event of a suspension of a driver’s license pursuant to the provisions of 21 Del. C. Section 2118, the suspended person may be issued an Occupational License during the mandatory period of suspension. The applicant is eligible to apply provided:

4.1 The applicant was not involved in an accident at the time of the incident in which property damage or personal injury occurred

4.2 The applicant has not been issued an occupational license during the immediate past 12 months. (Not to include conditional licenses issued under 21 Del.C. §4177(E), §4177(K), or 16 Del.C. §4764 Drug Diversion.)

4.3 The applicant is not under suspension or revocation of his/her driving privileges for another reason at time of application that would preclude the issuance of driving authority.

4.4 All valid Delaware licenses are turned in to the Division.

4.5 The applicant states on the application that the loss of license would create an extreme hardship which shall be defined as:

4.5.1 Loss of meaningful employment opportunity;

4.5.2 Loss of a school opportunity; or

4.5.3 An urgent need by the applicant or within the family, which is critical to the family’s health or welfare, and no other family members are capable of satisfying such urgent need. This includes; medical facilities, child, or adult care facilities.
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4.6 An occupational license issued pursuant to this regulation shall reflect limited driving authority to drive for the above state reasons only. The occupational license shall be issued for the duration of the suspension period or the expiration of the license whichever is greater. The applicant may choose to renew the license prior to issuance of the occupational license or may complete the renewal process at a later time.

4.7 In order to apply for an occupational license, applicant must provide the following:

4.7.1 Employment

4.7.1.1 Proof of insurance on all vehicles registered in the name of the applicant and/or spouse, or the name of another, and/or on company-owned vehicles. (See Proof of Insurance).

4.7.1.2 If self employed, a copy of the applicant's business license must be provided and the copy remain on file with the application.

4.7.1.3 If driving vehicles owned by the employer, a statement from the employer stating:

4.7.1.3.1 Applicant is employed with the company.

4.7.1.3.2 Applicant's work days and hours.

4.7.1.3.3 If applicant needs to drive for employment-related duties.

4.7.1.3.4 Applicant will be driving company owned vehicles. (Please identify the vehicles).

4.7.1.3.5 If applicant will be driving a personal or other vehicle in addition to the company vehicle for these duties.

4.7.2 Attending School

4.7.2.1 Documentation on the application stating the name, address, and phone number of the facility.

4.7.2.1.1 Days and hours applicant is scheduled for classes; and

4.7.2.1.2 Loss of school opportunity if applicant is not granted authority to drive.

4.7.2.2 Proof of insurance on all vehicles registered in the name of the applicant and/or spouse, or the name of another, and/or on company-owned vehicles. (See Proof of Insurance)

4.7.3 Child or Adult Care Requests

4.7.3.1 Documentation on the application stating the name, address, and phone number of the facility.

4.7.3.2 Proof of insurance on all vehicles registered in the name of the applicant and/or spouse, or the name of another, and/or on company owned vehicles. (See Proof of Insurance)

4.7.4 Medical Requests

4.7.4.1 A statement on the application that no other means of transportation is available

4.7.4.2 Documentation on the application stating the name, address, and phone number of the physician or medical facility.

4.7.4.3 Proof of Insurance on all vehicles registered in the name of the applicant and/or spouse, or the name of another, and/or on company-owned vehicles. (See Proof of Insurance)

4.7.5 Proof of Insurance

4.7.5.1 Privately-Owned Vehicles

4.7.5.1.1 Applicant's vehicles and/or vehicles where applicant's name is on the policy a valid insurance ID card must be shown.

4.7.5.1.2 Vehicle owned by the applicant's spouse and/or other vehicles personally owned by another individual must submit a valid insurance ID card.

4.7.5.2 Employers/Company-Owned Vehicles
4.7.5.2.1 Applicants requiring the privilege to drive their employer's vehicles for occupational purposes must present the employer's insurance ID or fleet ID card for proof of insurance.

4.7.5.2.2 If the business is privately owned and the insurance is issued under the employer's personal policy, the applicant must provide a valid insurance ID card.

4.7.6 Proof of Insurance that is not acceptable

4.7.6.1 Faxed copies of insurance documents unless faxed directly to the Division office

5.0 Severability

If any part of this rule is held to be unconstitutional or otherwise contrary to law by a court of competent jurisdiction, said portion shall be severed and the remaining portions of this rule shall remain in full force and effect under Delaware law.

6.0 Effective Date

The following regulation shall be effective 10 days from the date the order is signed and it is published in its final form in the Register of Regulations in accordance with 29 Del.C. §10118(e).
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